

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/635,420	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUBITZ, CARL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Manuel L. Barbee	<b>Art Unit</b> 2857	

**All Participants:**

(1) Manuel L. Barbee.

(2) Donald J. Lenkszus.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 5 December 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Lenkszus confirmed that he had sent no response to the Office Action mailed on 3 May 2005.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
MARC S. HCM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)